.____

		P	ATENT COOPE	RATION TREA	TY			
From the NTERNA	ATIONAL SEAR	CHING AUTH	IORITY		REC'D 0: 1 MAR 2005			
To:					DAVIPET POT			
PARK,	Hyeong-Keun				ICI			
No. 502		Bangbae 1(il)-de	LAW FIRM Room ong, Seocho-gu Seoul	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)				
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) 2	4 FEBRUARY 2005 (24.02.2005)			
Applica	nt's or agent's file	reference		FOR FURTHER AC				
GAPC	Г1218			S	ee paragraph 2 below			
	onal application N		International filing date	• •	Priority date(day/month/year) 14 JANUARY 2004 (14.01.2004)			
	/KR2004/00		or both national classifica	200.(0):003=003				
IPC7 I	F23N 5/02							
CNTE	EK, CORP. et	al						
1. This	s opinion contains	indications rela	ating to the following item	ns:				
		Basis of the opi	· ·	•	•			
	Box No. II	Priority						
	Box No. III	Non-establishn	nent of opinion with regar	rd to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity	of invention					
			ment under Rule 43bis.1(elty, inventive step or industrial applicabilit			
$ \Box$		Certain docum	•					
			ts in the international app	lication				
			ations on the internationa					
ا ا			-	- 				
If a Inte	rnational Prelimin or than this one to mions of this Intern	ational preliminary Examining be the IPEA an national Search royided above.	Authority ("IPEA") exce ad the chosen IPEA has no ing Authority will not be considered to be a writte	pt that this does not app otified the International so considered. n opinion of the IPEA, t	onsidered to be a written opinion of the oly where the applicant chooses an Authorit Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written the applicant is invited to submit to the ation of 3 months from the date of mailing			

Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR



Korean Intellectual Property Office 920 Dunsan-dong, Seo-gu, Daejeon 302-701, Republic of Korea

Facsimile No. 82-42-472-7140

Authorized officer

PARK, HYUN SOO

Telephone No. 82-42-481-8114



3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

International application No.

PCT/KR2004/002265

lox No. I Basis of this opinion		
. With regard to the language, thi which it was filed, unless otherw	is opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in wise indicated under this item.	
This opinion has been esta	ablished on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (und	ler
Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of informational society (and	
2. With regard to any nucleotide	e and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the has been established on the basis of:	е
a. type of material	1113 0001 03.03.101.00 011 113 013 011	
a sequence listing		
table(s) related to the se	equence listing	
b. format of material		٠
in wirtten format		
in computer readable for	orm	
c. time of filing/furnishing		
contained in the interna	ational application as filed.	
filed together with the i	international application in computer readable form.	
furnished subsequently	to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
•	at more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been	
in the application as filed or	aired statements that the information in the subsequent or additioanl copies is identical to that or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
. Additional comments:		
		•
·		
	•	
·		
i		
	·	

International application No.

PCT/KR2004/002265

Box No. III Non-establishment of o	pinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The questions whether the claimed in industrially applicable have not been	nvention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be a examined in respect of:
the entire international applica	ation
claims Nos. 3 - 10	
the said international applicat	tion, or the said claims Nos. t matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
	•
•	
•	
	《大路》: 19. 1 · 4 · 19. 1 · 10. 1 · 10 · 10 · 10 · 10 · 10 · 1
the description, claims or dra are so unclear that no meaning	awings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 3 - 10 ngful opinion could be formed (specify):
See Supplemental Box	
- -	
•	
•	
	,
•	
the claims, or said claims N	los are so inadequately supported
by the description that no m	neaningful opinion could be formed.
	ort has been established for said claims Nos.
ب	
the nucleotide and/or amino	acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the
Administrative Instructions	in that:
the written form	has not been furnished
	does not comply with the standard.
•	
the computer readable form	
	does not comply with the standard.
the tables related to the nuc	electide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
See Supplemental Box for	turtner details.
}	
1	

International application No.
PCT/KR2004/002265

Box N	lo. IV Lack of unity of invention
1. [In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:
	paid additional fees
•	paid additional fees under protest
	not paid additional fees
2. 💆	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3. T	his Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
	complied with
	not complied with for the following reasons:
	The application does not meet the requirement for the unity of invention set forth in PCT Articles 3(4)(iii), 34(3) and Rule 13.1. It is immediately evident "a priori" that the independent claims relate to two different problems and have little in common.
 I	Claimed invention (i): claims 1 and 2 are directed to a thermally operated valve:
	While it can be said that the claimed invention (i) is one of the special technical features of the claimed invention (ii), nevertheless, this does not provide a required novel concept in that the claimed invention is known from D1 and D2.
4. C	Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
] [all parts.
	the parts relating to claims Nos.
1 `	

International application No.

PCT/KR2004/002265

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement	•			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1, 2	<u> </u>	YES
•	Claims	<u> </u>		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims			YES
	Claims	1, 2		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1, 2	:	YES
	Claims			NO NO
		-		

2. Citations and explanations:

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP 55813 A D2: JP 64-24177 A

Claim 1 is directed to a gas flow rate control valve and claim 2 is directed to a gas flow open/close valve. However, the subject matters of claims 1 and 2 are very much the same in that the valves are thermally operated.

D1 discloses a thermally operated safety-valve for use in a hot-water heating installation, comprising a valve housing having an inlet, an outlet, a closing spring, a seal ring, and a temperature sensor of vapor pressure type.

D2 discloses a heat sensing cylinder-rod actuator comprising a cylinder, a spring, a rod and a volatile evaporating substance.

Novelty

None of the prior art documents D1 and D2 disclose a valve case having an expanded bottom part. Hence, the subject matters of claims 1 and 2 seem to be novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

Inventive Step

The valve case having the expanded part is considered to be a slight constructional change which comes within the scope of the customary practice followed by persons skilled in the art as the advantages thus achieved can readily be foreseen. Consequently, the subject matters of claim 1 and 2 lack an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

International application No.

PCT/KR2004/002265

The following de	fects in the f	orm or cont	ents of th	e interr	ational a	pplicat	ion hav	e been no	ted:					
Figures 4 and 9	are not clear.	Said figure	s show th	at the te	mperatu	re conti	ol valv	e (50) and	the r	ozzie s	upport (:	50) hav	e the same	
reference signs.		,		•									•	
•														
•								•						
													,	
								•						
	*													
	···. ·			• :		··. :	٠,	• • • •				: . · ·		
				•			: • •		٠.			•		
							•					•	. •	-
					•									•
			•	-					-					
	-													
•														
		-								•				
														•
									•	•				
		•												
•							•				•		•	
				•				•						
	•													
										•				

International application No.

PCT/KR2004/002265

Box No. VIII	Certain	observations on	the international	l application
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	---------------

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

It is understood from the description that a burning control means activated by the temperature of a boiler is essential for the automatic circulation of the present invention but it is not properly described in claim 3. Therefore, claim 3 does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6 taken in combination with PCT Rule 6.3(b) that any independent claim must contain all the technical features essential to the definition of the invention.

International application No.

PCT/KR2004/002265

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:

Box No. III

Claim 3-10 are so unclear that no opinion could be formulated as to novelty or inventive step. The problem is that the working of the automatic circulation device of claim 3-10 leaves the reader in doubt. According to the description, the water circulation of the device is caused by the vapor pressure created by heating of the boiler, so no other pressurizing means is needed. But, there is much doubt whether the vapor pressure of the circulation pipes could be increased large enough to render the water circulate because it is described in the description that water in the boiler won't be heated up to 100°C by the function of the gas control valve. Since, a specific volume of water doesn't increase much before boiling, the pressure rise in the boiler of the present invention would result in just a slight change.